UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,922	11/19/2003	David Rives	SEALED 3.0-042	6414	
***	7590 03/22/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	1	EXAM	INER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			LONEY, D	LONEY, DONALD J	
WESTFIELD,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		·	1772		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	03/22/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			,
	Application No.	Applicant(s))
	10/716,922	RIVES ET AL.	<i>)</i>
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donald Loney	1772	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 L</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	· ·	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-23 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl ority documents have been rec	ication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Mi 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ail Date	

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 22, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 18, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wynne (5900299).

Wynne teaches a foam layer or core 12,14 containing a plurality of ribs projecting from the first surface thereof (i.e. the ribs formed between the grooves 16 as shown in figure 11 and 12). A plastic/ metal film 41 is adhered to the tips of the ribs as shown. Refer to figures 11 and 12. The plastic layer is disclosed as being heat sealable to the ribs with the metal layer being on the outside (see column 2, lines 58-67). This would encompass the embodiment of claim 1 wherein the polymer film is adjacent the foam. With regards to claim 2, the metal layer appears continuous since it disclosed as

Application/Control Number: 10/716,922 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

a Mylar® film which is a polymer/metallic film. With regards to claim 4, the polymer is disclosed as polyethylene (see column 2, line 65). With regards to claims 7 and 8, the metal layer is disclosed as aluminum (see column 2, lines 65 and 66). With regards to claim 18, another film 41 is shown on the other surface of the foam in figures 11 and 12. With regards to claim 20 and 21, the other film is also disclosed as a Mylar® film (i.e. plastic/metal film).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/716,922 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 5, 6, 9-16, 19, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynne.

The primary reference teaches the invention substantially as recited except for the materials being the same per claims 5 and 6, the thickness and properties of the film and/or foam per claims 9-11, low density polyethylene per claims 12 and 13, arrangement and/or size pf the ribs per claims 14-16, material of the other film per claim 19 and the additives per claims 22 and 23. See the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection above.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Wynne to use the same materials for each component per claims 5 and 6 since they would then be more compatible with each other and Wynne already discloses polyethylene for the film but is silent as to the material of the foam. The specific thickness of the film, density of the foam, size of the ribs and additives in claims 9-16 and 19 are deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art motivated by the fact one would select such as desired for a particular application. The additives per claims 22 and 23 are a known means for an intended function.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 4-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The previous rejection dated

Art Unit: 1772

August 2, 2006 has been withdrawn in view of the applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2006.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJL:D.Loney 03/19/07

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

1) mul /